Environmental Security as the Basis of Common Security for Mankind

Dr. Pallav Mithal - Dept. Of Political Science

Lal Bahadur Shastri Govt. College Kotputli(Rajasthan)

Abstract

In the face of intensifying ecological degradation and climate instability, environmental security has become a critical pillar of global peace and human survival. This article argues that environmental security must be understood not merely as a subset of national or human security, but as the foundation of a renewed conception of common security for mankind. The paper explores the conceptual evolution of environmental security through frameworks such as human security and ecological security, and highlights how environmental degradation—including climate change, water scarcity, and biodiversity loss—exacerbates social vulnerabilities and can lead to conflict. Drawing on case studies from the Sahel, Syria, Southeast Asia, and the Arctic, the article illustrates how cooperative environmental governance can foster peace and resilience. It examines the limitations of existing global governance mechanisms and calls for reforms that include more equitable resource sharing, stronger institutions, and the integration of indigenous knowledge. Furthermore, the paper emphasizes climate justice and ecological ethics as moral imperatives in addressing unequal burdens and intergenerational responsibilities. Ultimately, it proposes a set of forward-looking policy recommendations, arguing that environmental security must underpin international cooperation, diplomacy, and sustainable development in order to secure a peaceful and livable future for all.

Introduction

In an increasingly interconnected and ecologically precarious world, environmental degradation has emerged as one of the gravest threats to global peace and stability. While traditional understandings of security have emphasized state-centric military threats, the growing recognition of environmental change as a source of conflict, displacement, and systemic instability demands a rethinking of what it means to secure human societies. The scope and subject matter of security has

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

International Research Journal of Humanities, Language and Literature (IRJHLL)

Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com , editor@aarf.asia   Page 23

proliferated.Although the fear of conflict never completely disappeared; there are increasingly non-military competitors :threats to environmental degradation, economic disparities, chronic poverty ,disease(HIV/AIDS),transnational crimes (drug abuse)and international migration.

This paper contends that environmental security is not a peripheral or secondary concern, but rather the foundation for what must be reimagined as "common security for mankind"—a vision that transcends national boundaries and recognizes the shared vulnerabilities and responsibilities of the global community. This article investigates the evolution of environmental security as a concept, its relationship with broader security paradigms, the empirical evidence linking environmental degradation with conflict, and the global policy architecture required to integrate environmental concerns into the heart of global security strategies. Hence the seemingly chaotic subject matter of security studies stands as evidence of intellectual confusion versus intellectual liberation.

Conceptual Foundations of Environmental Security

Environmental security emerged as a distinct concept during the late Cold War and post-Cold War period, as scholars and policymakers recognized that the deterioration of ecosystems and natural resources could undermine political stability, economic development, and human well-being. The term encapsulates the idea that environmental factors—ranging from climate change to water scarcity and biodiversity loss—can pose serious threats to the security of individuals, communities, and states.

The theoretical underpinnings of environmental security are grounded in two major frameworks:

- The human security approach, which broadens the scope of security to include environmental, economic, and health dimensions
- The ecological security approach, which emphasizes the dependence of human societies on stable ecological systems. The concept also intersects with the discourse on sustainable development, highlighting the need for long-term environmental stewardship to prevent conflict and promote peace.

Environmental security is thus inherently multidimensional: it encompasses not only the prevention of resource conflicts but also the protection of ecological systems as a foundation for socio-political stability. This necessitates a shift from reactive to preventive security strategies, including environmental diplomacy, resource-sharing agreements, and climate adaptation planning.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
International Research Journal of Humanities, Language and Literature (IRJHLL)
Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com , editor@aarf.asia   Page 24

Environmental Insecurity as a Source of Conflict

The empirical relationship between environmental degradation and violent conflict has been increasingly studied. Resource scarcity—particularly of water, arable land, and forests—has been linked to tensions and violence in regions such as the Sahel, the Middle East, and Central Asia. Climate change acts as a threat multiplier, exacerbating existing socio-economic vulnerabilities and increasing the likelihood of conflict over dwindling resources.

Notable case studies include the Darfur conflict, where desertification and water scarcity played a contributory role, and the Syrian civil war, which was preceded by a prolonged drought that displaced rural populations and strained urban infrastructure. In both cases, environmental stressors compounded political grievances, economic inequality, and weak governance structures, creating volatile conditions for conflict.

However, environmental degradation does not deterministically lead to conflict. Social, political, and institutional factors mediate these risks. Effective governance, conflict-resolution mechanisms, and cross-border cooperation can mitigate the potential for environmental stress to translate into violence. Thus, understanding environmental security requires a nuanced, context-sensitive approach.

Environmental Security and Human Security

Environmental security is intrinsically linked to human security. The degradation of environmental systems threatens access to food, clean water, shelter, and health—all fundamental components of human security. Climate change, in particular, poses existential threats to low-lying island nations, coastal cities, and drought-prone regions, rendering millions of people vulnerable to displacement, poverty, and disease.

The United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) has emphasized the importance of integrating environmental sustainability into human development strategies. The 1994 Human Development Report was seminal in redefining security beyond the military domain, advocating for a people-centered approach that prioritizes resilience and well-being.

Environmental security thus contributes to a holistic understanding of human security. It supports the idea that peace cannot be sustained without ecological sustainability, and that the rights and capabilities of present and future generations must be protected through the preservation of natural systems.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
International Research Journal of Humanities, Language and Literature (IRJHLL)
Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com , editor@aarf.asia   Page 25

Common Security in a Globalized World

The idea of "common security" originated during the Cold War, emphasizing that no nation can achieve true security at the expense of others. This principle is particularly relevant in the context of environmental threats, which are transboundary in nature and cannot be addressed unilaterally.

Climate change, ozone depletion, ocean acidification, and biodiversity loss are global common issues that require collective action. The Paris Agreement (2015), the Convention on Biological Diversity (1992), and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (2015) represent attempts to institutionalize common security principles in the environmental domain. However, implementation has been hampered by geopolitical rivalries, economic disparities, and short-term national interests.

Re-conceptualizing environmental security as the basis of common security involves acknowledging ecological interdependence and promoting global solidarity. This entails not only diplomatic commitments but also the redistribution of financial and technological resources to support vulnerable states in building environmental resilience.

Global Governance and Institutional Frameworks

A robust global governance architecture is essential to advancing environmental security. Key institutions include the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). These bodies play critical roles in knowledge production, norm-setting, and policy coordination.

However, challenges persist. Global environmental governance is often fragmented, underfunded, and lacking enforcement mechanisms. The principle of "common but differentiated responsibilities" (CBDR), enshrined in international environmental law, underscores the need for equitable burden-sharing but remains contentious in negotiations.

Reforms are needed to enhance the legitimacy, coherence, and effectiveness of global environmental governance. This includes strengthening compliance mechanisms, increasing financial contributions to global environmental funds, and ensuring the participation of civil society and indigenous peoples in decision-making processes.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
International Research Journal of Humanities, Language and Literature (IRJHLL)
Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com , editor@aarf.asia   Page 26

Environmental Security and Military Strategy

The military sector is increasingly acknowledging environmental threats as national and global security concerns. Climate change is now recognized by many defense agencies, including the U.S. Department of Defense and NATO, as a strategic threat that can destabilize regions, exacerbate humanitarian crises, and undermine military operations.

Green militarization, however, raises ethical and strategic questions. While militaries can contribute to disaster response and environmental monitoring, the securitization of the environment risks prioritizing control over cooperation. Therefore, the integration of environmental concerns into military strategy must be guided by principles of sustainability, transparency, and human rights.

Confidence-building measures, environmental peacekeeping, and demilitarized zones around ecological hotspots represent potential tools for aligning environmental and security objectives.

Case Studies in Environmental Security Cooperation

Several regional initiatives demonstrate the potential for environmental cooperation as a means of building common security. The Mekong River Commission (MRC) facilitates trans-boundary water management among Southeast Asian nations, promoting dialogue and reducing tensions over shared resources. Similarly, the Arctic Council provides a forum for cooperation among Arctic states on environmental protection, indigenous rights, and scientific research.

In the Middle East, the Red Sea-Dead Sea Conveyance Project represents an ambitious effort to address water scarcity through regional collaboration. Despite political challenges, such projects highlight the possibilities of using environmental issues as entry points for confidence-building and regional integration.

These case studies underscore that environmental security can serve as a catalyst for peace, provided that cooperation is underpinned by equity, transparency, and mutual trust.

Climate Justice and Environmental Ethics

Environmental security must be grounded in the principles of climate justice and ecological ethics. The impacts of environmental degradation are not evenly distributed: those who have contributed least to global warming are often the most affected. This includes indigenous communities, small island states, and impoverished populations in the Global South.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
International Research Journal of Humanities, Language and Literature (IRJHLL)
Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com , editor@aarf.asia   Page 27

Addressing environmental insecurity therefore requires an ethical commitment to justice, reparations, and inclusive governance. This includes honoring climate finance pledges, supporting technology transfer, and recognizing the rights of nature as articulated in legal frameworks like Ecuador's constitution.

Environmental ethics also demand a reassessment of humanity's relationship with the natural world. From an anthropocentric to an eco-centric worldview, sustainability must be seen not as a constraint but as an imperative for survival and flourishing.

Policy Recommendations

To advance environmental security as a foundation of common security, the following policy measures are proposed:

- Mainstream environmental security into national security strategies and international diplomacy.
- Strengthen multilateral institutions to coordinate climate action and enforce environmental norms.
- Expand climate finance and support capacity-building in vulnerable regions.
- Promote environmental education and public awareness to foster a culture of ecological responsibility.
- Integrate indigenous knowledge and community-based resource management into environmental governance.
- Develop legal frameworks to recognize and protect environmental rights at the national and international levels.

Conclusion

Environmental security is no longer an abstract or future concern—it is the defining challenge of our time. As environmental degradation accelerates, the risks to peace, prosperity, and planetary health intensify. A security paradigm that ignores ecological realities is not only inadequate but dangerous. This paper has argued that environmental security must form the bedrock of a renewed vision of common security for mankind—one that prioritizes cooperation over conflict, justice over exploitation, and sustainability over short-term gains. 'History has taught us that men and nations behave wisely once they have exhausted all other alternatives'(Abba Eban : former Israeli deputy

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
International Research Journal of Humanities, Language and Literature (IRJHLL)
Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com , editor@aarf.asia   Page 28

prime minister, Quote).The path forward requires courage, solidarity, and a commitment to reimagining security in a way that truly reflects the interdependence of life on Earth.

References

1. Barnett, Jon, and W. Neil Adger. "Climate change, human security and violent conflict." Political Geography 26, no. 6 (2007): 639-655.

2. Brauch, Hans Günter. Environment and Human Security: Towards Freedom from Hazard Impacts. UNU-EHS, 2005.

3. Brundtland Commission. Our Common Future. Oxford University Press, 1987.

4. Buzan, Barry, Ole Wæver, and Jaap de Wilde. Security: A New Framework for Analysis. Lynne Rienner Publishers, 1998.

5. Dalby, Simon. Security and Environmental Change. Polity Press, 2009.

6. Gleick, Peter H. "Water and conflict: Fresh water resources and international security." International Security 18, no. 1 (1993): 79–112.

7. Homer-Dixon, Thomas. Environment, Scarcity, and Violence. Princeton University Press, 1999.

8. IPCC. Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report.

9. IPCC. Climate Change 2001: Synthesis Report.

10. IPCC. Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability.

11. Levy, Marc A. "Is the environment a national security issue?" International Security 20, no. 2 (1995): 35–62.

12. Lonergan, Steve. "The role of environmental degradation in population displacement." Environmental Change and Security Project Report 4 (1998): 5–15.

13. Matthew, Richard A., et al., eds. Global Environmental Change and Human Security. MIT Press, 2010.

14. Matthew, Richard A., Bryan McDonald, and Kenneth R. Rutherford, eds. Landmines and Human Security: International Politics and War's Hidden Legacy. State University of New York Press, 2004.

15. Redclift, Michael. Sustainable Development: Exploring the Contradictions. Routledge, 1987.

16. UNDP. Human Development Report 1994. United Nations Development Programme, 1994.

17. United Nations. The Paris Agreement. United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, 2015.

18. UNEP. Global Environmental Outlook 6. United Nations Environment Programme.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
International Research Journal of Humanities, Language and Literature (IRJHLL)
Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com , editor@aarf.asia   Page 29

19. World Bank. Groundswell: Preparing for Internal Climate Migration. World Bank.

20. Yamin, Farhana, and Joanna Depledge. The International Climate Change Regime: A Guide to Rules, Institutions and Procedures. Cambridge University Press, 2004.

.

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
International Research Journal of Humanities, Language and Literature (IRJHLL)
Website: www.aarf.asia. Email: editoraarf@gmail.com , editor@aarf.asia   Page 30