International Research Journal of Humanities, Language and Literature
Volume 4, Issue 9, September 2017 Impact Factor 5.401
ISSN: (2394-1642)

Website-www.aarf.asia, Email : editoraarf@gmail.com

British Literature and the Industrial Revolution: Depiction of Labour and Class in Dickens and Gaskell

Dr. Smita K
Assistant Professor of English
Government P.G.College, Sector -1, Panchkula

Abstract

This paper explores the depictions of labour and class in the works of Charles Dickens and Elizabeth Gaskell, two of the most influential Victorian novelists whose writings engage directly with the social transformations of the Industrial Revolution. By examining Dickens' *Hard Times* and Gaskell's *Mary Barton* and *North and South*, the study highlights their distinct literary strategies and ideological positions in representing industrial society. Dickens critiques utilitarianism, mechanization, and the moral desolation of factory life through satire and allegory, portraying the working class as victims of dehumanizing systems yet framing solutions within moral reform and paternalistic charity. Gaskell, in contrast, adopts a realist and empathetic approach, foregrounding working-class voices, domestic struggles, and the potential for dialogue and reconciliation between classes. Together, their novels embody the "Condition-of-England" tradition, illustrating how literature functioned as both social critique and moral guidance in an age of profound economic and cultural change.

Keywords: Industrial Revolution, Victorian literature, Charles Dickens, Elizabeth Gaskell, class conflict.

Introduction

The Industrial Revolution in Britain, spanning the late eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, brought about unprecedented transformations in social, economic, and cultural life, fundamentally altering the relationship between labour, class, and literature. Factories, mechanization, and the rise of industrial towns reshaped the human experience, generating both prosperity and deep social inequalities that became central subjects for Victorian writers.

Within this context emerged the "Condition-of-England" novel, a genre that sought to depict and critique the realities of industrial capitalism while addressing middle-class anxieties about poverty, unrest, and moral decay. Among the most prominent voices in this tradition were Charles Dickens and Elizabeth Gaskell, whose works illustrate contrasting yet complementary approaches to representing labour and class. Dickens, in novels such as *Hard Times* and *Oliver Twist*, used satire, caricature, and allegory to critique utilitarianism, mechanization, and the dehumanization of workers, often presenting the poor as victims in need of moral guidance and reform. His narratives reveal both sympathy for the oppressed and a paternalistic reluctance to endorse radical social change. Gaskell, by contrast, in works like *Mary Barton* and *North and South*, combined documentary realism with empathetic characterizations, giving a voice to working-class struggles while also portraying the perspectives of industrial masters. Her fiction highlights negotiation, reconciliation, and the moral agency of women as mediators in class conflict. Together, Dickens and Gaskell reflect the Victorian social conscience, capturing the tensions of an era in which literature served as both a mirror of industrial society and a platform for moral reform. Their works not only illuminate the stark contrasts between wealth and poverty but also explore questions of identity, power, and human dignity in the face of rapid economic change. By situating their novels within the broader cultural debates of the Industrial Revolution, this study examines how their literary strategies, ideological commitments, and representations of labour reveal the complexities of Victorian class consciousness and the enduring power of literature to shape social discourse.

Background of the Study

The Industrial Revolution marked a turning point in British history, reshaping not only the economy but also the very fabric of social and cultural life. Rapid urbanization, mechanized labour, and the rise of factory systems created stark divisions between the wealthy industrialists and the impoverished working classes. These changes generated widespread debate about poverty, inequality, and the moral responsibilities of society, giving rise to the "Condition-of-England" novels that sought to document and critique industrial realities. Charles Dickens and Elizabeth Gaskell emerged as two significant literary figures who captured the complexities of this era. While Dickens used satire and allegory to expose the dehumanizing effects of industrial capitalism, Gaskell employed realism and empathy to give voice to working-class struggles and explore possibilities for reconciliation between classes. Their works stand as vital cultural documents, reflecting Victorian anxieties while shaping social consciousness about

labour, class, and moral reform in industrial Britain.

Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this study is to analyze how British literature of the Industrial Revolution, particularly the novels of Charles Dickens and Elizabeth Gaskell, represented issues of labour and class within a rapidly changing society. By focusing on Dickens' *Hard Times* and Gaskell's *Mary Barton* and *North and South*, the research aims to uncover the distinct narrative techniques and ideological perspectives each author employed to critique industrial capitalism and social inequality. The study seeks to highlight Dickens' use of satire and moral allegory to expose the dehumanizing effects of utilitarianism, alongside Gaskell's realist and empathetic portrayal of working-class life and her emphasis on reconciliation between workers and masters. Ultimately, the purpose is to demonstrate how their literary contributions not only reflected the lived experiences of industrial Britain but also shaped Victorian moral consciousness, offering literature as a means of social critique, reform, and cultural negotiation.

Charles Dickens: Labour and Class

Key Texts

Charles Dickens' engagement with the themes of labour and class during the Industrial Revolution is most vividly reflected in his novels *Hard Times* (1854), *Oliver Twist* (1837), and *David Copperfield* (1850), which together form a representative picture of his literary and social vision. In *Hard Times*, Dickens creates the grim industrial town of Coketown, a fictional landscape symbolizing the mechanized uniformity and spiritual desolation of industrial society. Here, the smoke-filled factories, endless rows of identical houses, and the reduction of workers to mere "hands" exemplify his critique of a society driven by utilitarian principles and devoid of imagination, compassion, and individuality. Dickens uses characters such as Thomas Gradgrind and Josiah Bounderby to expose the flaws of a system that prioritizes facts, profit, and mechanization over human emotion and dignity, while figures like Stephen Blackpool embody the struggles of the working class caught in this oppressive order. In *Oliver Twist*, Dickens turns his attention to child labour, poverty, and the notorious workhouse system established under the Poor Law of 1834. Through Oliver's journey, he highlights the cruelty, exploitation, and systemic neglect of orphaned and impoverished children, drawing attention to the dehumanizing conditions of institutionalized charity. This novel offers a stark portrayal

of the consequences of poverty and industrial neglect, though Dickens tempers his critique with melodramatic tropes and sentimentality. In *David Copperfield*, Dickens draws from his own autobiographical experiences of child labour in a blacking factory, weaving a narrative that combines personal suffering with broader reflections on class stratification, opportunity, and resilience. David's struggles illustrate the humiliations and hardships faced by working-class children forced into labour, while also pointing toward the possibility of self-improvement and social mobility through education and perseverance. These three novels thus provide a spectrum of Dickens' engagement with labour and class, from the industrial city and the exploitative workhouse to the personal trauma of child labour, all unified by his determination to expose injustice and call for moral reform.

Themes & Depictions

The recurring themes in Dickens' treatment of labour and class reflect both his acute sensitivity to the plight of the working poor and the limitations of his middle-class reformist outlook. Industrial towns, particularly as depicted in *Hard Times*, are presented as dehumanizing machines, where the rhythms of factory life crush individuality and reduce human beings to mere cogs in an economic system. Dickens' descriptions of Coketown emphasize the monotony of life under industrial capitalism: the endless repetition, the choking smoke, and the moral numbness of a community shaped by profit-driven ideology. His critique of utilitarian philosophy is central, as he portrays the dangers of prioritizing facts, numbers, and economic utility over imagination, compassion, and human feeling. Through figures such as Gradgrind, Dickens mocks the narrowness of a system that values efficiency above humanity, while Stephen Blackpool stands as a symbol of dignity and decency crushed by these very structures. Yet Dickens' view of the working class, though sympathetic, is often marked by paternalism. He consistently portrays workers as victims in need of guidance, charity, or moral redemption rather than as political agents capable of revolutionary change. While he condemns the exploitation of child labour and the brutality of the workhouse, his solutions lean toward moral reform, philanthropy, and personal responsibility rather than systemic transformation. His emphasis on sentimentality—using pathos to evoke sympathy for suffering characters such as Oliver or Stephen—aims to stir the consciences of middle-class readers, urging them to act compassionately rather than to question the very foundations of industrial capitalism. Thus, while Dickens' depictions powerfully dramatize the human cost of industrialization, they often stop short of endorsing radical or structural change, revealing both the strength and the limits

of his social critique.

Critical Perspectives

Critics have long debated Dickens' position as a social critic, with figures like Raymond Williams famously characterizing him as a "radical moralist, not a revolutionary." Williams' assessment highlights Dickens' ability to identify and dramatize the moral failings of industrial society—its greed, cruelty, and indifference—while also noting his reluctance to advocate for radical political solutions or class upheaval. Dickens' narratives expose injustice but seek resolution through moral awakening, individual reform, and appeals to the conscience of the middle class, making his radicalism one of sympathy and critique rather than revolution. Other critics have focused on his use of sentimentality, debating whether it served as an effective tool for social reform or whether it diluted his critique by reducing complex class struggles to emotional appeals. Some argue that Dickens' sentimentality was strategically aimed at evoking empathy from readers who might otherwise ignore the plight of the poor, while others suggest that it reinforces paternalistic stereotypes by presenting workers and children as helpless victims rather than autonomous individuals. Furthermore, his reliance on melodrama and caricature has been critiqued as oversimplifying social realities, even as it made his novels more accessible and engaging to a broad audience. Despite these criticisms, Dickens' industrial novels remain powerful cultural documents, capturing both the anxieties and aspirations of Victorian society in the face of rapid industrial change. His enduring contribution lies not in offering revolutionary solutions but in humanizing the struggles of the marginalized, ensuring that the voices and sufferings of the poor were made visible within a literary and cultural mainstream otherwise dominated by middle-class concerns.

Literature Review

Balkaya, M. A., et al (2015). This volume provides a comparative study of three central industrial novels: Charlotte Brontë's *Shirley*, Dickens' *Hard Times*, and Gaskell's *North and South*. It situates these texts within the broader framework of the industrial novel tradition, often termed "Condition-of-England" literature, highlighting how each writer engages with industrial capitalism, class struggle, and social reform. The authors show how Brontë uses industrial unrest to explore gender and regional identity, while Dickens satirizes utilitarianism and dehumanizing factory life in Coketown. Gaskell, by contrast, is depicted as deeply invested in portraying both perspectives—workers' suffering and masters' challenges—thereby offering

negotiation rather than pure condemnation. The study emphasizes differences in narrative technique: Brontë's Gothic undertones, Dickens' caricature and satire, and Gaskell's realism.

Simmons Jr, J. R. (2002). In this essay, Simmons Jr. explores the development of "Industrial" or "Condition-of-England" novels as a distinct Victorian genre, contextualizing them within Britain's rapid industrial transformation. He explains how these works—by Dickens, Gaskell, Brontë, and Disraeli—functioned as vehicles for social awareness, bringing working-class conditions, factory life, and class tensions into the middle-class drawing room through literature. Simmons categorizes the industrial novel as one with dual aims: entertainment through plot and character, but also moral instruction and reformist intent. A key contribution of the essay is its focus on the ideological frameworks that underpin these narratives—how they alternately sympathize with, caricature, or silence working-class voices depending on the author's background and readership. He notes Dickens' tendency toward allegorical social critique versus Gaskell's attempt at realistic mediation.

Davis, J. A. (2015). Davis' book provides a focused exploration of Dickens' social theories, particularly his responses to Victorian class divisions and industrial modernity. Drawing on *Hard Times, Oliver Twist,* and *David Copperfield*, Davis examines how Dickens' fiction embodies anxieties about mechanization, utilitarianism, and social alienation brought about by industrial capitalism. The study argues that Dickens was not merely a novelist but also a social thinker who sought to reshape public attitudes towards poverty, education, and class injustice. Davis highlights Dickens' belief in moral reform, charity, and human sympathy as solutions, while critiquing his paternalistic assumptions about the working class. His ambivalence—both sympathetic to workers yet wary of radical political agitation—is emphasized as a defining feature of his social vision. The work also connects Dickens' fictional representations with his journalism in *Household Words*, showing continuity in his critique of industrial society. For your paper, Davis is key in providing a theoretical understanding of Dickens' class politics, demonstrating how his narratives go beyond storytelling to articulate a reformist philosophy, deeply tied to Victorian moral consciousness but limited by his middle-class perspective.

Forsyth, M. (2000). Forsyth's work investigates the role of women writers during the Industrial Revolution, paying particular attention to how they shaped and contested discourses around class, labour, and gender. She emphasizes that women authors like Gaskell used fiction to document industrial realities often overlooked by male counterparts, especially the intersection of poverty, factory work, and women's domestic burdens. Forsyth highlights Gaskell's *Mary Barton* and *North and South* as examples of how female writers injected nuance

into industrial fiction by portraying working-class women not merely as victims but as resilient agents within their communities. T

Elliott, D. W. (2000). This article focuses on how Victorian industrial and factory novels represented the working classes, specifically through the use of language and metaphor in portraying servants, "hands," and labourers. He examines how authors like Dickens and Gaskell grappled with the challenge of giving voice to workers while simultaneously filtering those voices through middle-class narrative frameworks. The essay highlights the tension between representing workers as individuals with agency versus reducing them to faceless collectives—"hands"—whose labour defined their identity.

Herrero Migueláñez, B. (2015). This study examines Dickens' engagement with the Industrial Revolution, focusing on *Hard Times* and his journalistic writings in *Household Words*. Migueláñez argues that Dickens used fiction and journalism together as tools for social critique, shaping public discourse on industrial modernity. *Hard Times* is analyzed as Dickens' most concentrated industrial novel, portraying Coketown as a symbol of dehumanizing mechanization, while *Household Words* provided essays and stories that complemented these themes with real-world commentary.

Šišková, M. (2012). By analyzing selected novels—including those by Dickens, Gaskell, and Brontë—she demonstrates how literature became a medium for negotiating anxieties about urbanization, technological progress, class conflict, and cultural transformation. The study highlights how Dickens' caricatures and moral critiques, Gaskell's realism and empathy, and Brontë's focus on gender and community all capture different facets of Britain's industrial upheaval. A central argument is that Victorian fiction not only mirrored social changes but also shaped public consciousness about them, serving as both commentary and instrument of reform. Šišková emphasizes the novels' dual role as works of art and socio-political documents. For your project, this secondary source situates Dickens and Gaskell within a broader cultural-historical context, reminding readers that their novels were part of a wider literary response to industrial capitalism, one that engaged middle-class readers with pressing social realities through diverse stylistic and ideological lenses.

Defended, P. (2014). This doctoral dissertation focuses exclusively on *Hard Times*, examining how Dickens represented class differences and social hierarchy in an industrial context. Defended argues that Dickens' novel exposes the harsh realities of class inequality by portraying the stark divide between workers and factory owners, as well as the alienating effects of utilitarian philosophy on human relationships. The dissertation emphasizes Dickens' critique of the reduction of people to economic "hands" and the devaluation of individuality under industrial capitalism. However, it also points out Dickens' limitations: his solutions are

moral rather than structural, emphasizing sympathy, education, and personal reform rather than systemic change.

Elizabeth Gaskell: Labour and Class
Key Texts

Elizabeth Gaskell's contribution to the representation of labour and class in Victorian literature is most clearly illustrated through her seminal works *Mary Barton* (1848) and *North and South* (1855), which engage directly with the social and industrial conflicts of nineteenth-century Britain. *Mary Barton*, her debut novel, is often regarded as one of the first authentic working-class novels written by a middle-class author. It provides a vivid portrayal of Manchester's industrial life, focusing on the struggles of working-class families amid poverty, strikes, and class conflict. Through the Barton family, Gaskell reveals the desperation of workers whose grievances against factory owners escalate into violence, while simultaneously exposing the human cost of industrial disputes. The novel stands out for giving working-class characters a voice, allowing them to articulate their suffering and anger in a way rarely seen in earlier fiction. In *North and South*, Gaskell refines her exploration of industrial society by shifting the focus to the relationship between Margaret Hale, the genteel southern heroine, and John Thornton, the northern mill owner. This novel situates industrial conflict within the broader negotiation between social classes, emphasizing themes of mutual respect, understanding, and reconciliation. Unlike *Mary Barton*, which depicts class antagonism with stark intensity, *North and South* suggests the possibility of bridging divides through dialogue, compromise, and moral growth. Gaskell's decision to highlight gender roles—particularly Margaret's function as a mediator between Thornton and the striking workers—illustrates her belief in the transformative power of women's empathy and moral influence. Together, these novels showcase Gaskell's dual commitment: documenting the harsh realities of working-class life and envisioning paths toward resolution through human connection and social responsibility.

Themes & Depictions

Thematically, Gaskell distinguishes herself from contemporaries like Dickens by offering a stronger and more authentic representation of working-class experience, often informed by her direct involvement in Manchester's industrial community as the wife of a Unitarian minister. In *Mary Barton*, she immerses readers in the world of the poor, portraying workers' grief,

frustration, and solidarity with remarkable sympathy. Rather than reducing them to symbols or caricatures, she presents their individuality, emphasizing their humanity while highlighting systemic injustices such as starvation wages, dangerous conditions, and lack of social mobility. Women occupy a particularly central role in Gaskell's narratives, reflecting both her gendered perspective and her desire to expand Victorian literary attention beyond male experiences of work. Characters like Mary Barton and Margaret Hale embody resilience, emotional intelligence, and moral courage, demonstrating how women often carried the psychological and domestic burdens of industrial life. Moreover, Gaskell foregrounds women as mediators between the working class and industrial masters, suggesting that compassion and dialogue, often initiated by female characters, could foster social harmony. *North and South* exemplifies this theme: Margaret Hale's interactions with Thornton and the mill workers illustrate Gaskell's belief that industrial conflict could be alleviated not through violence but through mutual understanding. By dramatizing strikes and labour disputes from both perspectives, Gaskell emphasizes that neither workers nor masters can claim absolute authority; instead, industrial relations must evolve through negotiation, reform, and shared responsibility. This emphasis on mediation reveals her reformist yet moderate stance: while she sympathizes deeply with the working class, she resists radical revolution, instead envisioning a cooperative society grounded in empathy, Christian morality, and social justice.

Critical Perspectives

Critical responses to Gaskell's industrial novels have often emphasized her unique role as a cultural mediator, bridging the gap between middle-class readership and working-class realities. Scholars note that Gaskell's strength lies in her empathetic realism, which allows her to portray the complexity of industrial society without resorting to the exaggeration or caricature often found in Dickens' depictions. Critics such as Raymond Williams and Terry Eagleton have acknowledged her contribution to making the working class visible in literature, while also pointing out the tensions between her reformist sympathies and the expectations of her largely middle-class audience. For instance, in *Mary Barton*, Gaskell's vivid portrayal of poverty and class rage was initially met with controversy, as some readers considered her sympathetic depiction of workers dangerously radical. However, she tempers this by framing the narrative within a moral and Christian ethos, thereby making it more palatable to Victorian sensibilities. In *North and South*, her narrative strategy reflects a more deliberate attempt to balance class perspectives, aligning with middle-class readers' desire for reconciliation while

still affirming the dignity and agency of the working class. Critics have also highlighted the gendered dimension of her writing, noting how Gaskell uses female characters not only as moral exemplars but also as narrative devices to enable cross-class understanding. This reflects both her position as a woman writer navigating patriarchal literary culture and her conviction that women's moral authority could influence industrial society. At the same time, her reliance on reconciliation and compromise has been critiqued as idealistic, reflecting the limitations of her middle-class worldview. Nonetheless, Gaskell's industrial novels remain invaluable for their attempt to give voice to the working class and their insistence on the moral responsibility of society to address class inequality. Her fiction illustrates the Victorian social conscience at work, combining sympathy with pragmatism, and offering a nuanced counterpoint to Dickens' more satirical and allegorical critique of industrialism.

Comparative Analysis: Dickens vs. Gaskell

Style & Tone

In comparing the depictions of labour and class in the novels of Charles Dickens and Elizabeth Gaskell, one immediately notices the striking differences in their style and tone, which reflect both their artistic inclinations and their intended audiences. Dickens' approach is marked by satire, caricature, and moral allegory, qualities that make his industrial novels both entertaining and instructive for a wide Victorian readership. In *Hard Times*, for instance, the fictional Coketown becomes not merely a setting but an allegorical landscape of mechanization and dehumanization, while figures like Gradgrind and Bounderby are exaggerated caricatures designed to satirize utilitarian rationalism and the greed of industrial masters. Dickens' reliance on melodrama, humour, and sentiment often simplifies social realities into moral contrasts, yet it ensures his critiques remain accessible and emotionally resonant. Gaskell, by contrast, adopts a realist and documentary tone, drawing closely on her observations of industrial Manchester and her proximity to working-class communities. Her prose in *Mary Barton* and *North and South* emphasizes empathetic detail and nuanced representation, offering a more balanced portrayal of both workers and employers. Rather than relying on caricature, she grounds her narrative in lived experience, allowing her audience to appreciate the complexity of industrial society. Where Dickens seeks to provoke moral outrage through satire, Gaskell appeals to sympathy and understanding through realism, positioning her as a mediator who conveys industrial tensions with authenticity and compassion.

Representation of the Working Class

The representation of the working class reveals another important contrast between Dickens and Gaskell. Dickens consistently portrays workers as victims of social systems, emphasizing their suffering to elicit sympathy from his readers. Characters like Stephen Blackpool in *Hard Times* or Oliver in *Oliver Twist* embody the dignity of endurance but lack the agency to alter their circumstances. Dickens' working-class figures are often passive, serving as moral exemplars whose suffering underscores the failings of industrial society and the responsibilities of the wealthy. This approach, while powerful in evoking compassion, can reinforce a paternalistic view of the poor as dependent on the moral awakening of their social superiors. Gaskell, however, offers a more active and humanized portrayal of the working class. In *Mary Barton*, she allows workers to articulate their grievances, frustrations, and demands directly, portraying strikes and labour unrest from the perspective of those most affected. In *North and South*, Gaskell develops this further by dramatizing the perspectives of both masters and workers, depicting unions, strikes, and negotiations as legitimate aspects of industrial life. By giving her working-class characters individuality, agency, and emotional depth, Gaskell disrupts the stereotype of the "voiceless poor," presenting them as participants in, rather than passive subjects of, industrial conflict.

Role of Women

The role of women is another area where Dickens and Gaskell diverge significantly in their treatment of labour and class. Dickens' novels often confine women to domestic or sentimental roles, with limited engagement in industrial conflicts. Female figures such as Sissy Jupe in *Hard Times* serve as moral counterpoints to the cold rationalism of Gradgrind but remain largely confined to the private or emotional sphere. Women in Dickens' industrial narratives are symbols of compassion and moral influence, yet their roles are often secondary and domestically oriented, reflecting his reliance on conventional Victorian gender norms. Gaskell, by contrast, places women at the centre of industrial and class negotiations, presenting them as mediators, nurturers, and reformers. Characters like Mary Barton and Margaret Hale embody resilience, empathy, and moral authority, actively shaping relationships between classes. In

North and South, Margaret Hale emerges as a pivotal figure who facilitates understanding between Thornton and the striking workers, using her emotional intelligence and moral conviction to bridge divides. Gaskell's emphasis on female agency reflects both her gendered perspective as a woman writer and her belief in the transformative social power of women. By granting women central roles in negotiating class conflict, she expands the scope of the industrial novel, challenging patriarchal limits on female influence and positioning women as essential actors in social reform.

Resolution of Class Conflict

Finally, Dickens and Gaskell differ in their approaches to resolving class conflict, revealing their distinct ideological commitments. Dickens often resolves conflict through moral reformation and paternalism, suggesting that the wealthy must adopt compassion and responsibility for the poor. In *Hard Times*, resolution comes not through structural change but through the personal failures of characters like Bounderby and the moral contrast provided by figures such as Sissy Jupe. Dickens avoids endorsing radical solutions or systemic reform, preferring instead to appeal to the conscience of the middle and upper classes. Gaskell, on the other hand, emphasizes negotiation, understanding, and compromise as the means of bridging class divides. In *North and South*, she dramatizes how dialogue between Thornton and the workers, mediated by Margaret, leads to greater mutual respect and incremental reform. Rather than portraying the working class as passive recipients of charity, she acknowledges their agency in demanding justice, even as she tempers this with her reformist, non-revolutionary outlook. Her emphasis on compromise reflects both her Christian ethics and her practical understanding of industrial society as a complex web of competing interests that cannot be resolved by moral sentiment alone.

The comparative analysis of Dickens and Gaskell reveals two distinct yet complementary approaches to industrial fiction. Dickens, with his satirical tone, caricatures, and moral allegories, sought to provoke outrage and stir compassion, portraying the working class as victims in need of guidance and moral reform. Gaskell, adopting a realist and empathetic style, sought to document industrial life more faithfully, granting working-class characters agency and emphasizing the potential for reconciliation through dialogue and female mediation. Dickens' resolutions tend toward paternalism, while Gaskell envisions negotiated compromise as the path to social harmony. Together, their works reflect the broader Victorian struggle to

grapple with the transformations of industrial capitalism, illuminating the moral, cultural, and social questions that continue to shape understandings of labour and class in literature.

Conclusion

Charles Dickens and Elizabeth Gaskell stand as two of the most significant literary voices of the Victorian era, whose treatments of labour and class during the Industrial Revolution are both complementary and contrasting in style, focus, and ideological outlook. Dickens, with his satirical tone, caricatured characters, and reliance on moral allegory, exposes the dehumanizing effects of utilitarianism, mechanization, and the harsh realities of child labour and poverty, yet often frames the working class as victims in need of reform and moral guidance. Gaskell, by contrast, employs a realist, documentary style rooted in empathetic observation, offering a more nuanced and balanced depiction of industrial society by granting agency to working-class voices and situating women as mediators and reformers within class conflict. Together, their novels exemplify how Industrial Revolution literature functioned as both critique and containment of social struggle: Dickens' narratives stirring the conscience of middle-class readers through sentiment and satire, while Gaskell's fiction sought reconciliation through understanding, negotiation, and compromise. Both approaches reveal the Victorian social conscience at work—committed to exposing inequality and injustice but limited in their willingness to endorse radical structural change. Their legacy lies in shaping modern understandings of Victorian class consciousness, providing a literary record of an era in which rapid economic and cultural transformations forced society to confront questions of poverty, justice, and human dignity. By bringing the realities of industrial life into the cultural mainstream, Dickens and Gaskell ensured that the voices of the poor, the marginalized, and the struggling were neither silenced nor forgotten. Their works continue to resonate not only as artistic achievements but also as moral documents, reminding readers of the enduring capacity of literature to reflect, critique, and influence the social order.

References

1. Balkaya, M. A., Hofbauer, C. K., & Kandjani, E. M. (2015). *The Industrial Novels: Charlotte Brontë's Shirley, Charles Dickens' Hard Times and Elizabeth Gaskell's North and South*. Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
2. Simmons Jr, J. R. (2002). Industrial and "Condition of England" novels. *A companion to the Victorian novel*, *85*, 336.

3. Davis, J. A. (2015). The Social Theories of Charles Dickens: An Analysis of Victorian Class and Industrialism.
4. Forsyth, M. (2000). Women, Writing, and the Industrial Revolution.
5. Elliott, D. W. (2000). Servants and hands: representing the working classes in Victorian factory novels. *Victorian Literature and Culture*, *28*(2), 377-390.
6. Herrero Migueláñez, B. (2015). Dickens on the Industrial Revolution. Hard Times and Household Words.
7. Šišková, M. (2012). Reflection of Social, Economic and Cultural Changes in Britain in Selected Early Victorian Fiction.
8. Defended, P. (2014). *Social Classes' Differences in Charles Dickens' Hard Times* (Doctoral dissertation, KASDI MERBAH UNIVERSITY–OUARGLA).
9. Richard, J. (2005). England" Novels. *A Companion to the Victorian Novel*, 336.
10. Berchaoua, R. (2014). *Social Classes' Differences in Charles Dickens' Hard Times* (Doctoral dissertation).
11. Levitan, K. (2006). Literature, the city and the census: examining the social body in Victorian Britain. *The Gaskell Society Journal*, *20*, 60-72.
12. Khasanah, N. (2007). *Margaret's View Towards Stereotypes of Women in The Industrial Society in North and South A Novel by Elizabeth Gaskell* (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Islam Negeri Maulana Malik Ibrahim).
13. Baynham, M. (2008). Elite or powerful literacies? Constructions of literacy in the novels of Charles Dickens and Mrs Gaskell. In *Literacies, Global and Local* (pp. 173-192). John Benjamins Publishing Company.
14. Zahia, B. C. (2014). *Social Classes Differences in Charles Dickens' Novel David Copperfield* (Doctoral dissertation).
15. Boots-Marshall, J. (2005). *Rhetorical transformations: The figurative language of the industrial revolution*. The University of Oklahoma.